

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/580,904 | 05/31/2000 | Avner Shafrir | 52817.000111 | 2748 |
| 29315 | 7590 10/30/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC | | | HAILU, TADESSE | |
| SUITE 900 | 2010 SUNSET HILLS ROAD JITE 900 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| RESTON, V | 'A 20190 | | 2173 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. **09/580,904**

Applicant(s)

Avner Shafrir

Examiner

Tadesse Hailu

Art Unit 2173



| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
|--------------------------|--|
| There reject allow | REPLY FILED Oct 8, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Defore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for rance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] |
| a) | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). |
| ex ap se m | ctensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ctension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally at in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. 🗌 | |
| | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| | they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: |
| 3.□ | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 4. 🗆 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 5. 💢 | The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see the attachement |
| 6. 🗆 | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 7. 🛭 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| | Claim(s) allowed: none |
| | Claim(s) objected to: none |
| | Claim(s) rejected: 1-29 |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 3. □ | The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. □ | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). |
| ე.□ | Other: JOHN CABECA JOHN CAPTALL SYMMETER |
| | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED |

TECHNOLOGY CENTE: Part of Paper No. 14

...continued Advisory Action sheet for 09/580,904

To begin with, Applicant states that August 13, 2001 is the earliest release date for the "Chatting in Style" reference, as found from the Wayback Machine. However, the Examiner disagree, the Wayback Machine release date is not the publishing date of the reference. The prior art date is shown in last page of "Chatting in Style". In regard the claimed feature of *presenting one or more user indicators as links within the at least one electronic document*. Chatting in Style discloses the above feature (see page 2). After all, an electronic document comprises several form including document in a pop-up window, in overlapping window, or in a single window, etc.

Having responded to the applicant argument the rejection still stands.

TH 10/23/2003